The origin of the Etruscans has been debated ever since the fifth century B.C. when Herodotus, citing Lydian sources, attributed them to that region of Asia Minor:

Ays divided the population into two groups and determined by drawing lots which should emigrate and which should remain at home. He appointed himself to rule the section whose lot determined that they should remain, and his son Tyrhenus to command the emigrants. The lots were drawn, and one section went down to the coast at Smyrna, where they built vessels, put aboard all their household effects and sailed in search of a livelihood elsewhere. They passed many countries and finally reached Umbria in the north of Italy, where they settled and still live to this day. Here they changed their name from Lydians to Tyrrenians after the king’s son Tyrhenus, who was their leader.

The Greek historian Hellanicus, quoted by Dionysius of Halicarnassus, also claimed an eastern Mediterranean origin for the Etruscans, stating they were the Pelasgians, who settled the Aegean islands of Lemnos and Imbrax. Some weight must be given to this argument since a sixth-century B.C. funerary stele, now in the Athens National Museum, and locally made pottery sherds have been found on Lemnos, all with inscriptions in a dialect similar to Etruscan. This evidence, however, may only demonstrate the independent survival of a common pre-Indo-European language.

Most modern scholars reject these “histories” as completely fictitious, as another example of the Greeks’ passion for allotting imaginary origins to peoples and cities. In fact, Dionysius, writing in the first century B.C., informs us of a contemporary of Herodotus, the Lydian historian Xanthus, who claimed that the first man on earth had been a Lydian, that his descendants reigned long before
the time of Heracles, and that numerous towns in Asia were founded by Lydians. Given these fantastical foundation myths, it seems plausible that the superficial etymological similarity between the Lydian town Trrha, and Tyrrehenia, was the provocation for the foundation myth heard by Herodotus. Other Lydian sources indicate that Trrha may have been founded by King Gyges, who named it after a son of the legendary King Atys. Since Gyges ruled in the seventh century B.C., it would seem that the Etruscan could not have come from a Lydian town founded two or more centuries after they were already established in Italy. Finally, Dionysius states that the Etruscans he consulted considered themselves autochthonous and called themselves Rasenna, not Tyrrehenians.

A more recently proposed eastern Mediterranean ancestry for the Etruscans would have them related to the tribe of Sea Peoples identified in Egyptian reliefs and documents as TrSy (Teresh). The tribes of Śhrḥ (Sherden), ŚkrSy (Shekelesh), and Thyr (Tylek) have likewise been identified for etymological reasons with the islands of Sardinia and Sicily. Furthermore, archaeological evidence leaves no doubt that contacts did exist between the eastern and western Mediterranean in the Late Bronze Age, thus raising the possibility that some of the tribes of Sea Peoples mentioned in the Egyptian documents of Rameses II, Memphites, and Rameses III could have settled in the Tyrrehenian region before the emergence of the Etruscan civilization (see table 1 and fig. 1).

Even in the unlikely event of scholarly agreement on the etymological validity of this theory, however, archaeological evidence is required to demonstrate the nature of the relationship between these regions and to establish a context in which these etymological derivations can reasonably be explained.

Certainly, there was no wholesale migration between the regions involved, either at the time of the Sea Peoples' raids on Egypt in the thirteenth and twelfth centuries B.C., or any time prior to the emergence of the Etruscan civilization in the eighth century B.C. All of the archaeological data indicate that the Etruscans were the descendants of indigenous peoples, the Villanovans and Proto-Villanovans, who themselves were descendants of the Apennine peoples living in Middle-Late Bronze Age Italy.

This is not to say, however, that the Etruscans and their predecessors were isolated in any way from their cultural contemporaries; on the contrary, Etruscan art seems to owe much to the influence of Greek and Near Eastern cultures. But despite intense interactions with outside groups, the Etruscans were not dependent on external stimuli for their own political and social development. I would suggest nevertheless that, potentially, the settlement in Etruria of relatively small numbers of the Teresh tribe of the Sea Peoples could have culminated in the adoption of their name for that region. After a review of the textual evidence, I will present the archaeological data for contact between Italy and the eastern Mediterranean in the Late Bronze and Early Iron Ages so that we may critically evaluate this hypothesis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1</th>
<th>Chronology of the Eastern Mediterranean and the Tyrrehenian Region</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Greece</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Early</td>
<td>MH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bronze Age</td>
<td>1700–1600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle</td>
<td>LHIII</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bronze Age</td>
<td>1400–1250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Late</td>
<td>LIIIIB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bronze Age</td>
<td>1325–900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1190–1150</td>
<td>Memphite</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1150–1050</td>
<td>Sub-Mycenaean</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1050–900</td>
<td>Proto-Geometric</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iron Age</td>
<td>900–750</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>750–</td>
<td>Oriental &amp; Archaic</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Historical Documents

AMARNA PERIOD

Our earliest knowledge of the "Sea Peoples" comes from the Amarna letters, where the Sherden are listed as mercenaries stationed in the Levant at Egyptian garrisons such as Beth-Shan and Lachish. Although Akhenaten reigned at Amarna from about 1352 to 1336 according to the low chronology, garrison sites in the Levant date back to the conquests of Tuthmosis III in the mid-fifteenth century B.C. and continue up to the reign of Ramses II in the early twelfth century B.C.

RAMSESSES II

The Sherden are first described as sea-going in the fragmentary Tania stele, which dates to the early part of Ramesses II's reign (1279–1213): "Sherdane, rebellious of heart . . . and their battleships in the midst of the sea." Ramesses II "destroyed warriors of the Great Green [the Mediterranean], and lower Egypt spends the night sleeping peacefully."1

A few years later the Sherden are again mentioned as mercenaries, fighting at the Battle of Kadesh. The Egyptian-Hittite hostilities began in year 5 of Ramesses' reign, with a treaty signed in year 21 (approximately 1275 to 1258 B.C.). The Battle of Kadesh is depicted on numerous Egyptian reliefs. The Poem of Pentaur (on temple walls at Luxor, Karnak and Abydos) describes the preparations of the Egyptians and their march to northern Syria: "Behold, his majesty prepared his infantry and his chariots, the Sherden (š-r-dy-n) of the captivity of his majesty from the victories of his sword—they gave the plan of battle . . . ."2

UGARITIC AND HITTITIC DOCUMENTS

Sherden mercenaries were also employed by the king of Ugarit in the mid-13th century B.C. Liverani was the first to equate the Egyptian š-rdn with the term of the Ugaritic texts, while the role of the Sherden in Ugarit has been discussed by Dietrich and Loerz, Liverani, and most recently by Helzner.3 The first text (PRU III.16.257) is written in the reign of Niqmepa, while the second (PRU III.15.118) and third (PRU III.15) come from the reign of his son Ammitummur II, both ruling in the mid-13th century B.C.

From the present day, Niqmepa, son of Niqmaddu, king of Ugarit, took the fields of Allan, the Sherdane, in the (village) Ilu-izinu, and the fields of his inheritance (?) and gave it to Sawittenu. And Sawittenu shall honour the king, his lord, with 100 (shekels) of gold.

From the present day, Ammitummur, son of Niqmepa, king of Ugarit, took the fields of Allan, the Sherdane with its vineyard, in the village Manli'lu and gave it to Isbali and Isbali (x shekels of silver (?) shall give to the [king]. In the future nobody [ ] shall take it from Isbali. The seal of the king Ammitummur, son of Niqmepa. Witness—Ilaha, the scribe.

[(and next: the house) and the salt-producing field of I-M, son of the sherdane, Kurwana bought for x hundred (shekels) of silver. This field of Kurwana will be forever.]4

Other texts show that some of the Sherden had west-Semitic names (PRU IV.17.112), and served in the military forces at the royal palace (PRU II.28–31, KTU 4.174). Since their number is 5, 4, 5, 5 and 5, this indicates that the real number of Sherdana in Ugarit was not large.5 Yet another text (PRU II.124) lists men receiving or delivering an apir šairdu, translated as a "quiver of the sherden."6 Although we have no evidence of the Sherden using a special type of quiver, both the Egyptian reliefs, and many Sardinian figurines, demonstrate the use of the bow and arrow.

The Ugaritic texts demonstrate that some Sherden were land-owners in or around Ugarit, but that their fields were subsequently sold by the king of Ugarit. It is possible that these fields were grants for military service to the king.

Our earliest knowledge of the Teresh comes from a Hittite text of Tukulti-Ninurta IV, which refers to Ta-ra-ti-so, located near the Troad.7 The text is not very specific, and we can only speculate on the potential relationship between this place and the Tyrrha of Lydian date mentioned above. Other Hittite documents including the Tawagalawas Letter and the Madduwattus Indictment tell of general unrest in Miletus/Abhhiyawa and the Lukka lands in western Anatolia during the 13th century B.C., events which may be the precursors to the raids of the Sea Peoples on Egypt.8

A letter from the Hittite king to an official of Hammurabi, the last king of Ugarit, is further evidence of concern over the Sea Peoples (RS 34.129):

From the Sun, the Great King, to the city-prefect: Now, with you, the king, your master, is young. He doesn't know anything. I have (already) given orders to him regarding Lunadu, who was taken captive by the Sikalayu, who live on ships. Now I have sent to you Nisahili, one of my administrative officials, with instructions. As for you, send Lunadu, whom the Sikalayu captured, here to me. I will ask him about the Sikala-affair. Then he can return to Ugarit.9

Apparently Lunadu, as a prisoner aboard their ships, might be able to provide information about the Sikala (Si-ka-te-ità-a).10 Importantly, Rainey11 has now identified the Sikalayu of this Akkadian script with the Tjeker/Thelah of the Egyptian inscriptions,
rather than with the Shekelesh as Lehmann did. In fact, Tjeker is a poor transliteration, and should be Sikel, as Breasted suggested long ago.

Correspondence between the same Ugaritic official and the King of Alashiya (Cyprus) also tells of raids by an unidentified enemy. Sandars has correlated the events of these texts with the sea battle of Suppiluliumas II, the last king of Hatti, and makes them contemporary with Ramesses III’s northern war (ca. 1175 B.C.). The Ugarit-Alashiya correspondence could, however, relate to the first arrival of the Sea Peoples during Merneptah’s reign, about 1207 B.C. Indeed, the chronological correlation of kings, pharaohs, textual events and actual destruction levels is a major problem.

MERNEPTAH

Merneptah (1212–1202 B.C.), in the fifth year of his reign, repelled an invasion of Libyans and their allies in the western Delta. These allies of the Libyans included the Teresh, Shekelesh, and the Sherden, previously known only as mercenaries fighting for Egypt, while the Sikala/Sikel/Tjeker are not listed. The Great Karnak Inscription begins: “... Ekresh, Teresh, Luka, Sherden, Shekelesh, Northerners coming from all lands” and continues:

The wretched, fallen chief of Libya, Meryey, son of Ded, has fallen upon the country of Tehenu with his bowmen—Sherden, Shekelesh, Ekresh, Luka, Teresh, taking the best of every warrior and every man of war of his country. He has brought his wife and his children—leaders of the camp, and he has reached the western boundary in the fields of Peinet.

The invaders were badly defeated in a six-hour battle, with 6,359 Libyans slain, along with 222 Shekelesh, 742 Teresh, and an unknown number of Sherden and Ekresh. The Israel Stele, in celebrating this victory, mentions that Merneptah campaigned in Palestine, plundering Pekannu, carrying off Askalon, and seizing Gezer.

Are these places where the allies fled after their defeat?

RAMESES III

The Sikala/Sikel/Tjeker make their first appearance in the first Libyan War of Ramesses III (year 5), and are identified as northerners like the Teresh, Sherden, and Shekelesh: “The northern countries are unquiet in their limbs, even the Pe-set, the Thokel, who devastate their land. Their soul came, in the last extremity. They were warriors upon land, also in the sea.” Once again, the Libyans and their allies are defeated, with over 12,000 men slain and 1,000 taken captive.

Another clash between the Egyptians and the Sea Peoples came during Ramesses III’s eighth year, when the pharaoh’s forces defeated the marauders in both a land and sea battle, either somewhere on the Phoenician coast, or as Sandars feels, closer to the Delta. Although neither the Teresh nor the Sherden are mentioned in the reliefs, the Sea Peoples again came from the north:

Not one stood before their hands; from Kheta, Kode, Archemish, Arval, Alans, they were wasted. They [set up] a camp in one place in Amor. They desolated his people and his land like that which is not. They came with fire prepared before them, forward to Egypt. Their main support was Peleset, Thokel, Shekelesh, Denyen, and Weshesh; (these) lands were united, and they laid their hands upon the land as far as the Circle of the Earth. Their hearts were confident, full of their plans.

The Sherden are mentioned, however, in the Papyrus Harris, as allies of the invaders:

I extended all the boundaries of Egypt; I overthrew those who invaded them from their lands. I slew the Denyen in their isles, the Thokel and the Peleset were made ashes. The Sherden and the Weshesh of the sea, they were made as those that exist not, taken captive at one time, brought as captives to Egypt, like the sand of the shore. I settled them in strongholds, bound in my name. Numerous were their classes like hundred-thousands. I taxed them all, in clothing and grain from the storerooms and granaries each year.

The Teresh, Sherden, and Thokel, as well as the Kheta (Hitites), Amor, Shasu (?) and Peleset (?) are also present in the Medinet Habu relief, which commemorates Ramesses III’s Amorite War by showing these seven captive chiefs kneeling with their arms tied behind their backs (fig. 2).

It seems clear that Ramesses III made use of these conquered peoples just as his predecessors had: “I made Egypt into many classes, consisting of: butlers of the palace, great princes, numerous inlan-
try, and charity, by the hundred-thousand; Sherden, and Kehek, without number; attendants by the ten-thousand; and serf-laborers of Egypt. [6] Furthermore, the Egyptians were familiar with these people, who were probably living in the Levant, a region of great political and economic importance to Egypt:

the Sherden and Kehek were in their towns, lying the length of their backs; they had no fear, (for) there was no enemy from Kush, (not) far from Syria. Their horses and their weapons reposred in their magazines, while they were satisfied and drunk with joy. Their wives were with them, their children at their side; they looked not behind them, (but) their hearts were confident, (for) I was with them as the defense and protection of their limbs. I sustained alive the whole land, whether foreigners, (common) folk, citizens, or people, male or female. [6]

**WENAMON AND AMENOPE**

That the Levant was the home of at least some of the Sea Peoples is confirmed by the Tale of Wenamon, who was sent to Bybllos to acquire timber at the end of the twentieth dynasty (ca. 1070 B.C.). His payment of gold and silver was stolen while he was at Dor, which was then a petty kingdom of the Thekel: “I arrived at Dor, a city of Thekel, and Baskel, its king, caused to be brought for me much bread, a jar of wine, and a joint of beef.” [6] Although Wenamon did recover some of the silver, the Thekel followed him to Bybllos:

[Wenamon] spied eleven ships coming from the sea, belonging to the Thekel, saying: “Arrest him! Let not a ship of his (pass) to Egypt!” I sat down and began to weep. The letter-scribe of the prince came out to me, and said to me: “What is the matter with thee?” I said to him: “Surely thou seest these birds which twice descend upon Egypt. Behold them: They come to the pool, and how long shall I be here, forsaken? For thou seest surely those who come to arrest me again.” [6]

Wenamon clearly associates these Thekel from Dor with those who fought Egypt twice before, during the reigns of Merneptah (although we have no surviving documents naming them) and Rameses III. [6]

The Onomasticon of Amenope, also from the early eleventh century B.C., refers to towns and peoples in Canaan, listing from north to south the Sardina, Seqila and Pelastu. [5] Since we know the Pelastu (= Peleset/Philistines) settled mostly along the southern coast, and the Seqila (= Thekel/Tyre/Sikela/Sikels) were living around Dor, the Sardina must have lived somewhere between Mt. Carmel and Tyre, perhaps at Akko. [40]

**PHOENICIANS**

The inscription known as the Nora Fragment (fig. 3a), found in the sea near Nora in Sardinia, has been dated to the mid-eleventh century B.C. on the basis of paleographic examination. [5] If one assumes that it was brought to Nora soon after its manufacture, it indicates that by the mid-eleventh century B.C., the Levantine people called Phoenicians, known earlier as Canaanites, were plying the waters of the western Mediterranean. Although the earliest archaeological evidence of Phoenician settlement in Sardinia, at Pithekoussai on Ischia, at Cartaghe, and in Spain, comes from the early eighth century B.C., it is certainly possible that the Phoenicians were travelling in western Mediterranean waters some two to three centuries before their actual colonization of the west.

The Nora Stone (fig. 3b), also found in the sea, has been dated to the second half of the ninth century B.C. and thus does not predate Phoenician settlement on Sardinia. [4] It clearly links, however, the island with the Sardina of the Onomasticon, and is therefore fundamental to the hypothesis that Sardina did derive its name from the tribe of Sea Peoples known as Sherden: “... and Tarsis, and he drove them out. Among the Sardina he is [now] at peace, (and) his army is at peace: Milikaton son of Subna, general of (king) Pum-may.” [5] A slightly different reading of the Nora Stone had been made by Peckham, [4] who translated the text as follows: “From Tar-shish he was driven; in Sardinia he found refuge; his forces found refuge: Milikaton, son of Subbon, the commander. To [the god] Pray.” Cross, however, has convincingly demonstrated that the eighth line

---

**Fig. 3a. The Nora Fragment. Cagliari, Museo Archeologico Nazionale.**

**Fig. 3b. The Nora Stone. Cagliari, Museo Archeologico Nazionale.**
of the text, ἱμᾶς, must be Pummaq (Pyrmalium), king of Tyre, and that Miltukon "drove them out" (active voice) rather than "he was driven." Cross interprets the inscription as part of a victory stele, and suggests that it could have begun "He fought with the Sardians. . . ." Similarly, he feels that "Taris is most easily understood as the name of a refinery town in Sardinia, presumably Nora or an ancient site nearby." The stele thus celebrates the victory of Phoenician forces over native tribesmen in the "battle of Taris." Pyrgmalion was in fact king of Tyre from about 831–785 B.C., a date in agreement with Cross' reading of the palaeographic evidence. Cross concludes that Phoenician military forces were in Sardinia, sent from Tyre, perhaps to protect colonizing merchants or metal workers. Given the fragmentary nature of the Nora Stone, it is a large leap to the conclusion that there existed in Sardinia a place called Taris, where a battle worthy of documentary commemoration occurred. Alternatively, Taris/Tarshish could refer to either the Tarshish of Cilicia, with which the Phoenicians were certainly familiar, or Tarshish in Spain, well known from Greek literary sources as a Phoenician trading-post where copper and tin were acquired. In either case, the Nora Stone places the Phoenicians of Tyre, among whom the Sardines were settled in the eleventh century B.C., on the island of Sardinia, some two centuries later. Furthermore, the translations of both Cross and Peckham suggest that the Phoenicians identified the island as 'Sardinia' by the ninth century B.C.

The two possibilities that exist to explain the island being known as Sardinia are: (1) it is the name given to the island by the indigenous Nuragic peoples among whom the Phoenicians settled; or (2) it is the name given to the island by the Phoenicians or other foreigners who travelled and/or settled there. It follows then: (1) and (2a) that either the name Sardinia is only coincidentally similar to Sherden/Sardina, or (2b) that the island could have been named after the Sardines. It is not hard to imagine foreigners (Phoenicians) naming an island for a group of people (Sardinia) with whom they were familiar and who had settled there.

The second part of this paper will examine the archaeological evidence for interaction between Italy, especially Etruria, and the eastern Mediterranean in the Mycenaean and Phoenician periods. This data will demonstrate that the Italian regions were in touch with the Aegean and the Levant at a time when the Sea Peoples were active, and that if certain tribes travelled to the west, they were not the first easterners to do so. Likewise, the archaeological data from Etruria will be examined so as to evaluate the circumstances under which it could have received its name from the Teresh, as has been suggested for Sardinia and the Sherden/Sardina.
Etrurian Sites with Aegean Artifacts

In contrast to southern Italy, Sicily and Sardinia, where at least one site has enough Mycenaean material to suggest that an eastern Mediterranean exchange stopped there, only four sites with Aegean ceramics are known in Etruria, none with more than five sherds. Even the Piediluco and Contigliano boards contain only a few objects of eastern origins.

At Luni sul Mignone (Blera, Viterbo) (fig. 4, no. 3) five pieces of Mycenaean pottery were found in pure Apennine levels at an acropolis site continuously inhabited from the Middle to the Final Bronze Age. Four are from closed vessels and one from a cup or bowl. One is LHIIIA/B, two LHIIIB, and two LHIIIC. A single sherd of Mycenaean IIIC:2 pottery has been found along with Sabapennine pottery at Monte Rovello (Allumiere, Roma).
A single sherd of LHIIIC has also been found at the Etruscan site of Telamone (fig. 4, no. 2) located on the coast near Grosseto.

Some sherds in the back-fill near the archaic temple at Sant'Omobono in Rome, found along with Protovillanovan through sixth century B.C. material, were originally thought to be LHIIIC. Vagnetti has examined these sherds, however, and concluded that they are actually geometric.

The only other Aegean artifacts found in Etruria come from Pietiduca (Terni, Umbria) (fig. 4, no. 6), the probable origin of the Contigliano hoard as well. Among the 116 bronze pieces, all broken, are a fragment of a Cyproit tripod from Pietiduca, possibly datable between the twelfth and first half of the eleventh century B.C.; another tripod fragment typologically identical to the first from the Contigliano hoard; a four-spoked wheel, probably from a wheeled stand and very common on Cyprus in this period; and a fragment of a cauldron with a circular handle, of a type known in Crete and mainland Greece in the LBA. Another tripod fragment was bought at Pietiduca and is now in the Danish National Museum. Significantly, the other objects in both hoards are not earlier than the tenth century B.C., and considering that the material is mostly scrap, the Aegean objects could have been used and broken elsewhere and subsequently circulated for remelting.

Discussion

There is clearly quite a bit of evidence for east-west contact in the Late Bronze Age. The more finely dated ceramics demonstrate that these contacts had begun by the very beginning of the period, while the metallurgical evidence suggests that they continued in the last centuries of the second millennium B.C. It is clear also that Phoenician activities in the west had begun by the very beginning of the first millennium, and continue what must have been more or less continuous relations between east and west.

In addition to strictly Mycenaean products, pottery from Crete, Rhodes and Cyprus also made its way to the west. The metallurgical link, in the form of oxhide ingots, bronze tripods and bronze figurines, is also with Cyprus and Phoenicia rather than the Peloponnesus. Some impasto finds at Chania and Kommos in Crete, an Italian sword from the Uluburun shipwreck, and a few scattered daggers and fibulae of possible Italian origin, represent the Aegean end of what is mostly an asymmetrical relationship between the eastern and western Mediterranean.

The earliest imported pottery in the west comes mainly from Vivara and Lipari, both islands strategically located: Vivara at the entrance to the Bay of Naples, and Lipari as a crossroads near the
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eastern entrance to the Tyrrhenian Sea. Although chemically untested, much of the Mycenaean material at these two sites appears to be imported. The imported coarse wares documented at Vivara are strong evidence for eastern Mediterranean ships stopping there.

Beginning with the LHIIIA period, concentrations of Aegean-type pottery appear at Thapsos in Sicily, and at the Gulf of Taranto sites of Scaglio del Tonno and Broglio di Trebiuacce. It appears that Broglio differs from the other three sites in that most of the Aegean-type material was locally produced. In the LHIIIB period, Antigori in Sardinia, and Termitto on the Gulf become major centers of eastern Mediterranean activity, while contacts with Vivara ceased. The material from Termitto, like that at Broglio, is apparently of local manufacture, while both imported pottery and provincial imitations are well represented at Antigori.

During the LHIIIC period local production continued at Brogliaio, Sardo and, Antigori, while imports continued at Scaglio, Lipari, and Antigori. Very little material from this period has been found in Sicily. Given that the number of pottery analyses for a given period at each of these sites is not very large (except for Antigori), it seems nevertheless that there was no change in production pattern between the LHIIIA and LHIIIC periods, nothing to correlate with the destructions in the eastern Mediterranean. The continued importation of Aegean pottery in the LHIIIC period strongly suggests that the economic relationship between the Aegean and the west differs from that between the Aegean and the Levant.

In the Levant, the presence of LHIIIC pottery, always locally made, is taken to indicate the settlement of Sea Peoples after their forays into Egypt during the reign of Ramesses III. The Sea Peoples are thought to have arrived in the Levant already familiar with Mycenaean type pottery, from which they derived a nochrome and later a bichrome decorative style; trade relations with the Aegean declined. The presence of locally produced LHIIIC pottery at western Mediterranean sites cannot be interpreted in the same manner because importation continued at major sites.

An interesting question is what the potters at Brogliaio and Termitto used as stylistic models for their (mostly) local Mycenaean-type vessels. The limitations of archaeological sampling, both in the field and in the laboratory, along with the inherent problems of identifying undecorated sherds, may be exaggerating the differences between the assemblages from Scaglio and those from Brogliaio and Termitto, but an explanation seems warranted. Some might suggest that there was an Aegean segment to the population at these sites, but it is more likely that local potters were acquainted with imported wares, which were certainly abundant across the Gulf at Scaglio del Tonno. It is possible that Scaglio was the major Aegean port-of-call in the Gulf of Taranto and that demand for Aegean-type pottery grew in the region, stimulating local imitation at nearby settlements.

Sea Peoples in Etruria?

It is a reasonable hypothesis that there is a definite relationship between the Sherden of the Ugaritic and Egyptian texts and the island of Sardinia. It seems unlikely that the Sherden came from Sardinia because (1) they are a known entity in the Amarna letters, written in a period (LHIII A) for which there is no evidence of Mycenaean contact with Sardinia (LHIIIA and LHIIIC); (2) their presence in Ugarit (thirteenth century) and near Tyre (1100 b.c.) argues for an eastern Mediterranean origin, as does (3) their association with other Sea Peoples, "northerners coming from all lands."

Whatever their origin, it is clear from the archaeological evidence, the Tale of Wenamun, and the Onomasticon of Amenepe, that Sea Peoples settled in the Levant, at least the Pelasgic, the Sikels and the Sardinia. Given the Sardinian finds of late Cypriot bronzes, possibly left over exuide ingots, the figurines with Cypriot and Phoenician affinities, and the knowledge that Phoenicians were sailing in the western Mediterranean by the eleventh century b.c., it is possible that some Sardina from near Tyre emigrated to Sardinia sometime between 1100 and 800 b.c., settling at the major Phoenician sites such as Nora, Tharros, Sulcis and Cagliari. In this manner these colonists could have given their name to the entire island, a name imposed on the indigenous inhabitants by a commercially active people with a written language, and one that survived into the historical period. It is noteworthy that the modern name of the island is not related to what the Greeks called Sardina: Inuus, which means footprint.

A similar scenario could be drawn for Sikels living at Dor, and emigrating to Sicily, but other than the Phoenician colonization of the island in the eighth century b.c., and the obvious phonetic relationship, we cannot suggest such a particular affiliation without any textual evidence. The quantity of Mycenaean pottery, even at Thapsos, does not indicate that non-Sicilians lived there, nor is there the abundant evidence for LHIIIC and twelfth-to-eleventh century b.c. contact that there is for Sardinia; these situations result in a 300-year gap between the last mention of the Sikels and the earliest Phoenician presence in Sicily.

As for the Terehe, there is no textual documentation of where they settled after their battle with Ramesses III. It is quite improbable that they settled in Etruria in the twelfth century b.c., as there is no evidence of direct contact between the eastern Mediterranean and that region before the ninth century b.c. The few sherds and metal artifacts of eastern Mediterranean origin could easily be the result of down-the-line exchange, perhaps all the way from the Gulf of Taranto. Without an idea where the Terehe were between the twelfth and eighth centuries b.c. it is impossible to even formulate a hypothesis regarding how they could have lent their name, even.
through Greek intermediaries, to the people of Etruria, who called themselves Rasenna.

What is certain is that a migration or invasion of the scale envisioned by Greek and Roman historians is not supported by any archaeological evidence; if even a small number of easterners settled in Etruria during the ninth to seventh centuries B.C., they did not distinctly alter the indigenous, gradual, cultural development begun in the Late Bronze Age. In fact, the Etruscans themselves considered their first sacrum to have started in the eleventh or tenth century.72

In this paper I have tried to present the textual and archaeological evidence relevant to the etymology of the Tyrrhenian regions of Etruria, Sardinia and Sicily. Although the region in general was undoubtedly known to easterners in the Late Bronze Age, it is unlikely that there was any regular interaction except perhaps at the port sites of Scoglio del Torno, Lipari, and Vyrata. Even the connection between the Sherden tribe of the Sea Peoples and the island of Sardinia was not established immediately following the events of Ramesses III's eighth year, but is tied to later Hittite, Phoenician prospecting in and colonization of the western Mediterranean. Any relationship between Sikels and Sicily, or Terres and Tyrrhenia, would have to be just as late, and must for now remain hypothetical, as only new evidence can associate such specific groups with a new homeland after a hiatus of several centuries.
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NOTES

This paper is in part based on "The Sea Peoples in Sicily, Sardinia and Etruria: A Reexamination of the Evidence in Light of Recent Archaeological Research," which was presented at the First Joint Archaeological Congress, Baltimore, January 8, 1989, in the section Archaeology and Text. I thank Miriam S. Balmuth, for providing a copy of her 1973 and 1987 papers (infra, n. 54) and supplying additional references; Count Caelli, for encouraging me to submit this paper; and Jane Whitehead and anonymous readers for their constructive editorial criticisms.
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